

State of Utah

Department of Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR. Governor

> GARY R. HERBERT Lieutenant Governor



March 5, 2007

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7004 2510 0004 1824 2888

Mark Reynolds, Resident Agent Hiawatha Coal Company P.O. Box 1245 Huntington, Utah 84528

Subject: <u>Proposed Assessment for Notice of Violation #10004, Hiawatha Coal</u> <u>Company, Hiawatha Mine, C/007/0011, Outgoing File</u>

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the "proposed" civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Karl Houskeeper, on February 14, 2007. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director, Associate Director or assigned conference officer. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

Page 2 Mark Reynolds March 5, 2007

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock Assessment Officer

2 Haddock

an

Enclosure

cc:

OSM Compliance Report

Vickie Southwick, DOGM Price Field Office

O:\007011.HIA\Compliance\ASSESMNT\ProAssessment10004.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

CON	MPANY	Y / MIN	E <u>Hiawatha Coal Co</u>	ompany	PERMIT	C/007/0011				
ASS	ESSMI	ENT D	ATE <u>March 2, 2007</u>	-	NOV / CO #	10004				
ASS	ESSMI	ENT OI	FFICER <u>Daron R. I</u>	<u> Haddock</u>						
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)									
	A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?									
	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS			EFFECTIVE DATE	POIN	ΓS				
						<u></u>				
		1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year 5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year No pending notices shall be counted								
			L HISTORY	POINTS						
II.	SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)									
	NOTE:		For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:							
		1.		Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will letermine within each category where the violation falls.						
		2.		nid-point of the category, p or down, utilizing the in ing documents.						
		Is th	is an EVENT (A) or I	on? Hindra	ance					
	A.	EVE	ENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)							
		1.	What is the event v	which the violated standar	d was designed	I to prevent?				
		2.	What is the probab standard was design	pility of the occurrence of med to prevent?	the event which	h a violated				

<u>RANGE</u>
0
1-9
10-19
20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS ____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

- B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)
 - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____10 RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS ____10__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***The operator is required to display an identification sign at all points of public access to the mine. In this case, the sign had fallen to the ground and was not visible. This is considered to be a potential hindrance to enforcement, because someone from the public may not be able to readily identify the entrance to the mine or the Operator of the mine. Ten points are assigned because of the potential for hindrance.

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 10

III. **NEGLIGENCE** (Max 30 pts.)

Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of A. reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 12

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***This violation was the result of indifference to the DOGM regulations or the lack of reasonable care. A prudent operator would understand the need to have an identification sign posted. In this case the Operator had been warned during at least 3 previous inspections that the sign needed to be fixed. Because the Operator had been warned so many times it is felt that there is a higher degree of negligence, thus 12 points are assigned.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

• Immediate Compliance

-11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required) (Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

• Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

^{*}Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

• Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

Extended Compliance

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? <u>Easy</u>

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS __-10__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***The violation was issued on February 14, 2007 and the abatement was required by February 16, 2007 that was a fairly short timeframe. This is considered to be an easy abatement since no plans were required and the Operator had the resources available to complete the abatement. The abatement was completed by 8:30 am on February 15, 2007 (ahead of the deadline) that showed that the Operator used diligence to complete the abatement. I am awarding 10 good faith points for completing the easy abatement in a rapid manner.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # <u>10004</u>	_		
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS		0	
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS		10	
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS		12	
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS		-10	
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS		12	
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$	264	

 $O: \label{lem:compliance} O: \label{lem:co$